

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,305	12/12/2001	Erik Laridon	5383	5658
75	90 03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Milliken & Company P. O. Box 1927			WANG, SHENGJUN	
Spartanburg, SC 29304			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/015,305	LARIDON ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the per R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal or				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) \(\simega\) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: The proposed amendments is not seen to	o place the application in better form	<u>n for appeal.</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) buld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-48</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· •			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER			
		Shengjun Wang			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, Koji clearly teach the employment of lubricant in the amount of 0.1-5% weight as stated in the prior office action (column 9, lines 28-39, i.e., paragraph 34). attached is a computer translated copy of Koji et al. obtained at JPO website. Applicants' attention is also directed to paragraph 19 where Koji states that polyethylene and polyprropylene may be incorporated in the antimicrobial resin. .

Continuation of 10. Other: Form 892 with JP 406-136233 English translation from JPO web site attached hereto.